

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/642,917	08/19/2000	Shiri Kadambi	108339-09055	8677	
32294 7590 11/06/2003				EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			VANDERPUYE	VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661	4	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	3 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					
	`.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/642,917	KADAMBI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kenneth N Vanderpuye	2661			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr b. cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under					
•	ion of Claims Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application					
4)63	4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdra					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>13,24 and 36-39</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>14-23,25-35 and 40-42</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•				
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		intion No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachmer		_				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and	Frademark Office					

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim s 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 36 recites the limitation "the plurality of clustered <u>building</u> blocks" in lines 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2661

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High

Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 13, 24, 36, 37, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ma (6,157,643).

With regards to claim 13, 24, Ma teaches a scalable network switch (Fig. 2), said scalable network switch comprising a predetermined number of switch building blocks interconnected in a meshed configuration (Fig. 2), wherein at least one of said predetermined number of switch building blocks comprises:

at least one data port interface(Fig. 2, SE1) supporting a plurality of ports for transmitting and receiving data, and a predetermined number of stack link interfaces(SE1 has links connecting it to SE2 blocks) configured to transmit data between one of said predetermined number of building

Art Unit: 2661

blocks and another of said predetermined number of building blocks(Fig. 2, SE1 uses the links to transmit data to SE2).

With regards to claim 36, Ma teaches a method of stacking network switches, said method comprising:

providing a plurality of clustered switch blocks (Fig. 2), and interconnecting each of said plurality of clustered switch blocks(Fig. 2, interconnecting links), wherein interconnection of the plurality of clustered building blocks forms a stack of clustered blocks(Fig. 2, multistage switch elements forms clustered blocks).

Claim 37 is rejected because Fig. 2, 8 of Ma is an example of a meshed configuration. Each block is connected to every other block.

Claim 39 is rejected because Ma teaches a method for handling packets (ATM) in network switch, said method comprising the steps of:

receiving a packet in a clustered network switch; (col. 4 lines 34-39) determining a destination address of the packet from a lookup operation in a common table (necessarily present because to switch need to know which output to direct the packet); and forwarding the packet to the destination address determined from the lookup operation. (Fig. 2, the

Art Unit: 2661

output element to which a packet is directed is dependent on the destination address in the packet).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Claims 14-23, 25-35, 40-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth N Vanderpuye whose telephone number is 703-308-7828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on 703-305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 2661

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER

KNV October 31, 2003